



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,488	08/01/2003	Geoffrey F. Cox	ST03004USU (172-US-U1)	5142
7590	01/24/2006		EXAMINER MANCHO, RONNIE M	
The Eclipse Group 10453 Raintree Lane Northridge, CA 91326			ART UNIT 3663	PAPER NUMBER
DATE MAILED: 01/24/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



**DETAILED ACTION**

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 2-31 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The amendment, "from a source other than a prior location determined by the satellite positioning receiver" is not supported in the original specification. This is new matter.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 2-31, 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by P. Ptasinski et al (Jounal of Navigation, 2002, chapter 55, pages 451-462).

Art Unit: 3663

Regarding claim 2, Ptasinski et al disclose the satellite positioning receiver (see GPS antenna, fig. 4) capable of receipt of at least three positioning signals (pages 453, 454) comprising:

a navigation processor (figs. 3&4) that processes the at least three positioning signals and determines an at least three code phases (pages 453-456); and

a location determined from initial digital terrain elevation data (pages 453-456) from a source other than a prior location determined by the satellite positioning receiver used to calculate a solution with the at least three code phases and an altitude equation derived from the initial digital terrain elevation data, where the solution further includes:

a horizontal error ellipse parameter (fig. 1, pages 452, 453) in the altitude equation that form an ellipse having a major axis and a minor axis that correspond to the altitude error (figs. 1&2);

a plurality of points along the major axis and the minor axis that form a grid of grid points (figs. 1&2; pages 452, 453); and

a memory that contains digital terrain elevation data (altitude augmentation using digital maps, pages 454-456) the grid points.

Regarding claim 3, Ptasinski et al disclose the satellite positioning receiver of claim 2, including:

a server that receives a plurality of satellite code phases where each of the satellite code phases is associated with a satellite positioning system signal over a wireless network (ericson mobile, fig. 4); and

Art Unit: 3663

a controller in the server accesses the initial digital terrain data in order to determine a solution (pages 455, 456)

Regarding claim 4, Ptasinski et al disclose the satellite positioning receiver of claim 2, where the initial digital terrain elevation data is retrieved from the memory in response to receipt of a signal other than the at least three positioning signals.

Regarding claim 5, Ptasinski et al disclose the satellite positioning receiver of claim 2, wherein the digital terrain elevation data in the memory is NIMA (DTED) level 0 digital mean elevation data.

Regarding claim 6, Ptasinski et al disclose the satellite positioning receiver of claim 2, where the digital terrain elevation data in the memory is GTOPO30 Global Elevation data.

Regarding claim 7, Ptasinski et al disclose the satellite positioning receiver of claim 2, wherein a maximum residual error in a polynomial surface fit over the grid points calculated by the navigation processor is below a predetermined threshold.

Regarding claim 8, Ptasinski et al disclose the satellite positioning receiver of claim 7, wherein, the predetermined threshold is 100 meters.

Regarding claim 9, Ptasinski et al disclose the receiver of claim 2, wherein the navigation processor is a processor located in a server.

Regarding claim 10, Ptasinski et al disclose a method of determining the location of a receiver (figs. 3&4) in recipient of at least three positioning signals, comprising:

identifying a reference location (pages 452-456) with the at least three positioning signals;

Art Unit: 3663

retrieving an initial height (pages 452, 453) from a source other than a prior location determined by the satellite positioning receiver;

determining an average height along with an average height error (altitude error, pages 452, etc) from the initial height (pages 452-454);

deriving at least three simultaneous equations associated with the at least three positioning signals (pages 452-456);

solving the at least three simultaneous equations (pages 452-456) with the average height and the average height error that results in a position and a corresponding horizontal error ellipse (figs. 1, 2);

fitting a two-dimensional polynomial to the corresponding horizontal error ellipse (figs. 1&2); and

solving the at least three simultaneous equations and the two dimension polynomial that results in an altitude of the satellite positioning receiver (pages 453-456).

Regarding claim 11, Ptasinski et al disclose the method of claim 10, where determining an average height further includes:

identifying one of a minimum height and a maximum height; and setting the height error equal to the absolute value of the difference between the one of the minimum height and the maximum height and the average height.

Regarding claim 12, Ptasinski et al disclose the method of claim 10, where retrieving an initial height further includes: transmitting a plurality of code phases to a server where each of the code phases is associated with each of the positioning signal; and accessing digital terrain data stored in a memory to retrieve the initial height.

Art Unit: 3663

Regarding claim 13, Ptasinski et al disclose the method of claim 12, wherein the wireless network is a cellular communication network.

Regarding claim 14, Ptasinski et al disclose the method of claim 10, where retrieving an initial height further includes: receiving the initial height from a memory located within the satellite positioning receiver.

Regarding claim 15, Ptasinski et al disclose the method of claim 10, further include: acquiring another height using variables from the two dimensional polynomial; and comparing the difference between the other height and altitude to a predetermined threshold.

Regarding claim 16, Ptasinski et al disclose the method of claim 15, where the predetermined threshold is 100 meters.

Regarding claim 17, Ptasinski et al disclose the method of claim 10, where the receiver is located in a server.

Regarding claim 18, Ptasinski et al disclose the satellite positioning receiver apparatus (figs. 3&4) in recipient of at least three positioning signals, comprising:

means for identifying a reference location from a source other than a prior location determined by the satellite positioning receiver with the at least three positioning signals (pages 452-456);

means for retrieving an initial height (pages 452-456);

means for determining an average height along with an average height error from the initial height; means for deriving at least three simultaneous equations associated with the at least three positioning signals(pages 452-456);

Art Unit: 3663

means for solving the at least three simultaneous equations with the average height and the average height error that results in a position and a corresponding horizontal error ellipse(pages 452-456);

means for fitting a two-dimensional polynomial to the corresponding horizontal error ellipse; and means for solving the at least three simultaneous equations and the two dimension polynomial that results in an altitude of the satellite positioning receiver(pages 452-456).

Regarding claim 19, Ptasinski et al disclose the apparatus of claim 18, wherein the determining an average height means further includes: means for identifying one of a minimum height and a maximum height; and means for setting the height error equal to the absolute value of the difference between the one of the minimum height and the maximum height and the average height.

Regarding claim 20, Ptasinski et al disclose the apparatus of claim 18, wherein the means for retrieving an initial height further includes: means for receiving the initial height from a server located in a wireless network.

Regarding claim 21, Ptasinski et al disclose the apparatus of claim 20, wherein the wireless network is a cellular communication network.

Regarding claim 22, Ptasinski et al disclose the apparatus of claim 18, wherein the means for retrieving an initial height further includes: means for receiving the initial height from a memory located within the satellite positioning receiver.

Regarding claim 23, Ptasinski et al disclose the apparatus of claim 18, further include: means for acquiring another height using variables from the two dimensional polynomial; and



Art Unit: 3663

means for comparing the difference between the other height and altitude to a predetermined threshold.

Regarding claim 24, Ptasiński et al disclose the apparatus of claim 23, where the predetermined threshold is 100 meters.

Regarding claim 25, Ptasiński et al disclose a machine-readable signal bearing medium (figs. 3&4) for satellite positioning receiver apparatus containing a plurality of machine-readable signals, comprising:

means (figs. 3&4) for identifying a reference location upon receipt of at least three positioning signals (pages 452-456);

means (figs. 3&4) for retrieving an initial height (altitude, pages 452-456) from a source other than a prior location determined by the satellite positioning receiver;

means (fig. 2) for determining an average height along with an average height error from the initial height (pages 452-456);

means (figs. 3&4) for deriving at least three simultaneous equations associated with the at least three positioning signals (pages 452-456);

means (figs. 3&4) for solving the at least three simultaneous equations with the average height and the average height error that results in a position and a corresponding horizontal error ellipse (pages 452-456);

means (figs. 3&4) for fitting a two-dimensional polynomial to the corresponding horizontal error ellipse (pages 452-456); and

Art Unit: 3663

means (figs. 3&4) for solving the at least three simultaneous equations and the two dimension polynomial that results in an altitude of the satellite positioning receiver (pages 452-456).

Regarding claim 26, Ptasinski et al disclose the machine-readable signal bearing medium of claim 25, wherein the determining an average height means further includes:

means for identifying one of a minimum height and a maximum height (pages 452-456);  
and

means for setting the height error equal to the absolute value of the difference between the one of the minimum height and the maximum height and the average height (pages 452-456).

Regarding claim 27, Ptasinski et al disclose the machine-readable signal bearing medium of claim 25, wherein the means for retrieving an initial height further includes: means for receiving the initial height from a server located in a wireless network.

Regarding claim 28, Ptasinski et al disclose the machine-readable signal bearing medium of claim 27, wherein the wireless network is a cellular communication network.

Regarding claim 29, Ptasinski et al disclose the machine-readable signal bearing medium of claim 25, wherein the means for retrieving an initial height further includes:

means for receiving the initial height from a memory.

Regarding claim 30, Ptasinski et al disclose the machine-readable signal bearing medium of claim 25, further include:

means for acquiring another height using variables from the two dimensional polynomial;  
and

means for comparing the difference between the other height and altitude to a predetermined threshold.

Regarding claim 31, Ptasinski et al disclose the machine-readable signal bearing medium of claim 30, where the predetermined threshold is 100 meters.

Regarding claim 34, Ptasinski et al disclose a server (fig. 4), comprising:  
a transceiver (figs. 3&4) that receives a plurality of satellite code phases (pages 454-457);  
a memory (figs. 3&4) with digital terrain elevation data (pages 454-457); and  
a controller (figs. 3&4) that processes the plurality of code phases and accesses the digital terrain data in memory with an initial height to determine a location indicated by the plurality of satellite codes and the digital terrain data (pages 454-457);  
a message containing the location data sent from the transceiver;  
a horizontal error ellipse parameter (figs. 1&2) in an altitude equation that form an error ellipse having a major axis and a minor axis that corresponds to an altitude error about the initial height (pages 452-456); and  
a plurality of points along the major axis and the minor axis that form a grid of grid points that the controller accesses the digital terrain elevation data in memory at the grid points (pages 452-457).

5. The statements of intended use or field of use, "capable of", "determined from", "receives", "accesses", "retrieved", "calculated", "fitting", etc, clauses are essentially method limitations or statements of intended or desired use. Thus, these claims as well as other statements of intended use do not serve to patentably distinguish the claimed structure over that of the reference. See *In re Pearson*, 181 USPQ 641; *In re Yanush*, 177 USPQ 705; *In re*

Art Unit: 3663

Finsterwalder, 168 USPQ 530; In re Casey, 512 USPQ 235; In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458; Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ 2nd 1647.

See MPEP § 2114 which states:

A claim containing a “recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from the prior art apparatus” if the prior art apparatus teaches all the structural limitations of the claim. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ 2nd 1647

Claims directed to apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than functions. In re Danly, 120 USPQ 528, 531.

Apparatus claims cover what a device is not what a device does. Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc., 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528.

As set forth in MPEP § 2115, a recitation in a claim to the material or article worked upon does not serve to limit an apparatus claim.

### ***Response to Arguments***

6. Applicant's arguments filed 11/1/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant is arguing that applicant's claims call for “*ONLY THREE equations*”. There is no such limitations in the claims. The applicant's claims call for “at least three positioning signals”. The examiner does not know what applicant is talking about. The arguments are off point.

The argument about the source of elevation data is new matter. It is believed that the prior art reads on the claims.

***Communication***

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronnie Mancho whose telephone number is 571-272-6984. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs: 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on 571-272-6878. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ronnie Mancho  
Examiner  
Art Unit 3663

1/13/06

  
JACK KEITH  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER